

Mathematical Modelling of Thermal Conversion of Athabasca Bitumen. Part I: Reaction Pathways and Experimental Method

K. CHAN, C. DIAZ-GOANO

University of Alberta

H. DETTMAN, T. DE BRUIJN

National Centre for Upgrading Technology

Abstract

Modelling of a thermal cracking process involves establishing a set of kinetic reactions that transform the feed into products. In a typical feed, there exists a large number of real components. Thus, an exact feed composition is not known. The most common method to overcome this difficulty is to define pseudo-components based on physical properties such as boiling point or molecular weight.

In this work, we propose a set of model thermal cracking reactions based on nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) data. NMR carbon type analysis improves the characterization of the feed and products by providing the contents of different carbon species (carbon bonds). This additional information enables a more descriptive and fundamental set of reactions to be developed for the model. The reactions chosen describe the types of carbon bonds that break and form in the feedstock under visbreaking conditions. The set of reactions is the centre of the pseudo-component model.

Introduction

Visbreaking is a thermal cracking process that has existed for many decades. It is a relatively mild process used primarily to reduce the viscosity of the heavy ends of crude oil feedstocks that results in low conversion of heavy-end material. For example, typically there is < 30 wt% conversion of residue with boiling points (BP) > 524°C to lower-boiling components. Visbreaking is widely used in Europe and Asia due to the properties of available feedstocks and demand for heavier products such as fuel oil. In Alberta, an increasing proportion of the oil produced is extra heavy (i.e. Athabasca bitumen). Consequently, higher severity processes such as coking and residue hydrocracking are needed to convert 60 wt% or more of the residue to lighter products suitable for transportation fuels. However, there has been some interest in visbreaking as a field upgrading process to reduce the need for diluent to meet pipeline specifications for bitumen and heavy oil transportation.


Despite visbreaking being a relatively simple process, modelling of the thermal cracking reactions that result in product formation poses many challenges. The greatest difficulty is to find a suitable method to describe the feedstock and product molecules. For the lightest components of crude oils (i.e. naphtha, BP < 204°C), there are over a thousand molecular species that can be identified and quantified. However, for fractions with boiling points > 204°C, virtually every molecule is different. Thus, the challenge is to lump the molecules into a manageable number of groups, yet be able to retain enough chemical sensitivity so as to be able to develop fundamental correlations.

The most common method to characterize a feedstock is to define pseudo-component lumps based on physical properties like molecular weight or boiling point. However, as these properties provide no specific information of the relative chemical reactivity of the lumps, the kinetic parameters determined are empirical and need to be adjusted for each different feedstock.

Recently, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy has been used for structural analyses of petroleum fractions. Ali et al.⁽¹⁾ used NMR data to estimate average molecular structures of Kuwaiti vacuum gas oil. Carbon type analysis using ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectroscopy has proven useful for identifying the chemical structural features in petroleum fractions, including residue components that are important during thermal processing. For example, the quantities of carbon types were used to assess the changes in product quality as residue was recycled under coking conditions by Japanwala et al.⁽²⁾ Similarly, Rahmani et al.⁽³⁾ used the results of carbon type analyses and sulphide content measurements to determine the dependence of coking kinetics on the chemical structures of asphaltenes. Finally, Sheremata et al.⁽⁴⁾ used carbon type analyses results to construct molecular representations of the components in Athabasca bitumen asphaltenes. The current project focuses on a new application for carbon type analyses where the data will be used to develop a mathematical model of the thermal reactions that occur during visbreaking. In this paper, the model approach and proposed chemical reactions are described.


Background

Visbreaking process models have been developed previously. In models proposed by Shu and Venkatesen⁽⁵⁾ and Krishna et al.⁽⁶⁾, visbreaking was described with two pseudo-components: a feed and a product. This approach only required one overall reaction, and the reaction predicted how much of the feed was converted into product. The model proposed by Shu and Venkatesan⁽⁵⁾ was based on Cold Lake bitumen while that proposed by Krishna et al.⁽⁶⁾ used Agjahari long residue as its feedstock. Each feedstock had its own set of pre-exponential factors and activation energies, illustrating that the fit of this type of model was feed-dependant. More recently, Singh et al.⁽⁷⁾ proposed a model that included one pseudo-component for the feed and five product pseudo-components that were based on distillate fractions and a gas phase. Four different feedstocks were used, but each feedstock had to have its own set of estimated kinetic parameters. Again, the predictive ability of the model was limited to the feedstock used to validate the model.




Cycloparaffinic and Paraffinic:

- Cycloparaffin Bridge CH (1)
- Cycloparaffin Alkyl-Substituted CH (2)
- Cycloparaffin CH₂ (3)
- Methyl-cycloparaffin (4)
- Ethyl-cycloparaffin (5)
- α to Cycloparaffin ring (6)
- Paraffin chain (7)



Aromatic and Olefinic:

- Aromatic Bridge C (1)
- Aromatic Alkyl Substituted C (2)
- Aromatic CH (3)
- Methyl-aromatic (4)
- Ethyl-aromatic (5)
- Diphenyl methane CH₂ (6)
- αβ to Aromatic (7)
- Olefinic CH and CH₂ (8)
- α to Olefinic (9)



Branched Paraffinic:

- Methyl branched chain (1)
- Ethyl branched chain (2)
- + Butyl branched chain (3)
- αβ to Branch point (4)




FIGURE 1: Molecular structures that illustrate the carbon types quantified using ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

New Pseudo-Component Definition

In the current work, the objective is to develop a model that will be predictive for many petroleum feedstocks. Consequently, pseudo-components were chosen with consideration for chemical reactivity, rather than being based solely on physical properties. Before analyses, the feedstock and liquid products were fractionated. Firstly, fractionation was based on boiling point: product gas; initial boiling point (IBP) to 204°C called naphtha; 204°C to 524°C called gas oil; and, +524°C called residue. Secondly, the gas oil and residue fractions were separated by polarity using the SARA

[saturates, aromatics-resins(polars) and asphaltenes] method; the gas oils were separated into two fractions (saturates and aromatics+polars) and the residues were separated into three fractions (saturates, aromatics+polars and asphaltenes). This resulted in a set of five pseudo-components for the feed, as the naphtha content of the feedstock was too low to be able to analyze, and seven pseudo-components for the products. The list of the pseudo-components for the model is given in Table 1. The analyses of the liquid and solid fractions included density, boiling point distribution, molecular weight, elemental and carbon type. The carbon types quantified using NMR spectroscopy are shown in Figure 1.

TABLE 1: List of model pseudo-components.

Separation by:		Fractions		
Boiling Point	Gas	Naphtha (N) (IBP-204°C)	Gas Oil (204°C – 524°C)	Residue (+524°C)
Polarity	-	-	Saturates (GO-S)	Saturates (R-S)
			Aromatics + Polars (GO-A+P)	Aromatics + Polars (R-A+P)
				Asphaltenes (R-As)

For the gas samples, the hydrocarbon content information from the refinery gas analyses were also distributed according to the carbon types shown in Figure 1.

This definition for pseudo-components, and particularly the carbon type information, should enable the model to be predictive for different petroleum feedstocks. The types of carbon will not change from feedstock to feedstock, only the relative quantities will vary. For example, a heavier feed will have more of the gas oil and residue fractions, and possibly consist of more of the aromatic carbon types. A lighter feed will have more naphtha and gas oil, and possibly consist of more of the non-aromatic (aliphatic) carbon types.

Experimental Data

Fraction Preparation

The feedstock chosen for this study was Athabasca bitumen from the Underground Test Facility (UTF), an extra-heavy oil with a high sulphur content. After processing, the feed and total liquid products (TLPs) were distilled into the cuts shown in Table 1 using the American Society for Testing and Materials⁽⁸⁾ (ASTM) D1160 method.

Asphaltenes were precipitated from the D1160 vacuum residues (BP > 524°C) with pentane using a single treatment of the procedure outlined in Peramanu et al.⁽⁹⁾ This method includes adding 40-volumes of pentane, sonicating in a bath sonicator for 45 minutes, leaving the mixture to rest overnight at room temperature, then sonicating for an additional 30 minutes before filtering and, washing with pentane until the eluent is colourless. The solid (asphaltenes) were dried in a vacuum oven at 45°C until constant weight was obtained. The pentane solvent was removed from the eluent (maltenes) by rotoevaporation.

The gas oil and the residue maltenes were separated into saturates and aromatics+polars fractions using a modification of the clay-gel adsorption chromatography method described in Peramanu et al.⁽⁹⁾. After collection of the saturates sample using pentane, the polar fraction was adsorbed to the upper attapulugus clay while the aromatics fraction adsorbed to the lower silica gel column. The columns were separated and the aromatics were eluted from the silica gel by washing with 2.5 volumes of methylene chloride. The polars were removed from the clay by washing successively with 3.3 volumes of methylene chloride, 3.3 volumes of methanol:methylene chloride (60:40) and, finally, 1.7 volumes of methanol. The aromatic and polar fractions were pooled, rotoevaporated to constant volume, then dried in a 45°C vacuum oven until constant weight was obtained. The pseudo-component contents in the feed are given in Table 2.

Fraction Characterization

Gas and Naphtha Component Analysis

Refinery gas analysis was run on the gas product on either a Refinery Gas Analyzer (MTI) or Agilent Technologies 3000A gas chromatography (GC) instrument. PIONA (paraffin, isoparaffin, olefin, naphthene, and aromatic) analyses of the naphtha products were performed on an HP 6890 GC instrument.

TABLE 2: Contents of pseudo-components in feed.

Pseudo-Component	Feed (wt %)
Gas	0
N	0
GO-S	18.6
GO-A+P	27.6
R-S	3.0
R-A+P	33.8
R-As	17.0

Density Measurements

The densities of the naphtha and gas oil samples were measured using the ASTM D4052 method on a Mettler Toledo Density meter (DE45). The densities of the residue samples were measured on a helium pycnometer (Micromeritics 1320) using the ASTM method D2638 for saturates and asphaltenes, and D2320 for aromatics+polars.

High Temperature Simulated Distillation

High temperature simulated distillation (HTSD) (ASTM D6352-02) was performed on an HP 5890 GC instrument using Agilent Technologies software.

Elemental Analyses

Sulphur contents of naphtha were measured using x-ray fluorescence (ASTM D4294) on an Horiba XR Fluorometer (SLFA-1800). The sulphur content of the gas oil saturates was measured by GC (HP 6890) using sulphur chemiluminescence detection (ASTM D5623). The sulphur content of all other samples was measured using a Leco SC 432 analyzer where ASTM D1552 was used for the aromatics+polars and ASTM D4239 was used for asphaltenes. The nitrogen content of the naphtha and saturates was measured using the ASTM D4629 method on a Dohrman Nitrogen Analyzer (DN-1000). The carbon and hydrogen contents of the saturates were measured using the ASTM D5291 method on a Perkin Elmer 2400 analyzer. The carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen contents of the aromatics+polars and asphaltenes were measured on a Leco 1000 Analyzer using ASTM D5291 for the aromatics+polars, and ASTM D5373 for the asphaltenes. The carbon and hydrogen contents of the naphtha were measured on the Leco 1000 Analyzer using the ASTM D5291 procedure.

Average Molecular Weight Measurements

Average molecular weights for all samples except naphtha were measured by vapour pressure osmometry using o-dichlorobenzene at 120°C, as described in Peramanu et al.⁽⁹⁾ The average molecular weights of naphtha were measured by freezing point depression using a Wide-Range Cryette (WRTM).

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

NMR analyses were performed at room temperature (19 ± 1°C) on a Varian Unity Inova 600 NMR spectrometer, operating at 599.733 MHz for proton and 150.817 MHz for carbon. For proton, 20 mg quantities were dissolved in 700 µL deuterio-chloroform. For carbon, 50 mg quantities of asphaltenes were dissolved in 700 µL deuterio-chloroform while 100 mg or µL quantities of the other samples were dissolved in 600 µL deuterio-chloroform. Both proton and carbon spectra were run using a Varian 5 mm broadband ¹³C{¹H} probe.

The quantitative carbon spectra were acquired using an acquisition time of 1.0 s and a sweep width of 36003.6 Hz. For residue samples, a flip angle of 35° (4.6 µs) and a relaxation delay of 5s were used. For naphtha and gas oil samples, a flip angle of 26.4° (3.4 µs) and a relaxation delay of 10 s were used. Reverse-gated waltz proton decoupling was used to avoid nuclear Overhauser effect enhancements of the protonated carbon signals. The spectra were the result of 2,048 scans. Line broadening of 5 Hz for the naphtha, 10 Hz for the gas oil and 15 Hz for the residue samples was used to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the spectra. The

TABLE 3: Experimental conditions and toluene insolubles content.

Run	Temperature (°C)	Flow Rate (kg/h)	Retention Time (min)	Toluene Insolubles (wt%)
Feed	-	-	-	0.38
1	390	2	30	0.49
2	405	2	30	0.54
3	405	3	20	0.55
4	420	3	20	0.64
5	420	2	30	2.26
6	415	2	30	0.90
7	415	3	20	0.33
8	430	3	20	2.46
9	430	2	30	3.75

spectra were referenced to the deuterio-chloroform resonance being set to 77 ppm.

The quantitative proton spectra were acquired using an acquisition time of 3 s and a sweep width of 20,000 Hz. A flip angle of 30.6° (3.3 μs) and no relaxation delay were used. The spectra were the result of 128 scans. Line broadening of 0.33 Hz was used to improve the signal-to-noise ratio of the spectra. The spectra were referenced to the deuterio-chloroform resonance being set to 7.24 ppm. Spectra were processed using a procedure based on that described in Japanwala et al.⁽²⁾

Visbreaker Operating Conditions

The unit, operating as a soaker-type visbreaker, performed a total of nine runs at five temperatures for two feed flow rates (retention times). The experimental conditions for each run are listed in Table 3. The reactor pressure was set at 1 MPa.

Model Approach and Discussion



Chemical Reaction Definition

Figure 1 shows that NMR carbon type analysis has been developed to quantify all carbon types in petroleum feeds and products. As these results describe the carbon bonds in the feed and products, changes in distribution of carbon types in the TLP relative to the feed reflect the process chemistry. Modelling this information will reveal the relative importance of the different reactions that have taken place in these complex systems.

For the mild thermal conditions used during visbreaking, it was assumed that the products did not undergo further reaction. In Table 3, the contents of toluene insolubles (TIs) are given. By definition, coke is insoluble in toluene, so an increase in the TI content indicates coke formation. As coke formation is evidence of further product reaction (i.e. polymerization), the results in Table 3 suggest that six of the runs will meet this assumption while three runs (5, 8 and 9) may not.

Table 4 shows the cleavage reaction products expected from alkyl sulphide species in the bitumen. For carbon-carbon bond cleavage, Gary and Handwerk⁽¹⁰⁾ suggested that the principal reactions that take place under visbreaking conditions involve substituted aromatic and cycloparaffin rings of varying cluster sizes (Table 5). Also included was a reaction involving 2-ethyltetralin to describe cycloparaffin dehydrogenation. This hydroaromatic was

TABLE 4: Model reactions—carbon-sulphur bond breakage.

Compound	Major Products
Alkyl Sulphides	Hydrogen Sulphide
	H ₂ S
	1-Olefin species
	

chosen because a cycloparaffin ring is more likely to dehydrogenate when it is part of a poly-ring system with aromatics. A cycloparaffin ring by itself is less likely to dehydrogenate. Aromatics and cycloparaffins with long side chains were chosen to allow for the greatest variety of possible products. All of the model reactions chosen can be approximated as first order reactions.

Examples of how the reactions may be monitored are shown in the following. A simple reaction is the dehydrogenation of cycloparaffin rings to form aromatic rings. The decrease in cycloparaffinic carbon content (Figure 1) in the TLP relative to the feed is equal to the increase in aromatic carbon content in the TLP relative to the feed. As well, dehydrogenation of cycloparaffin rings in the feed is thought to be primarily responsible for H₂ formation in the product gas.

For methyl species, the total of aromatic, cycloparaffinic and branched-alkane methyl carbons in the feed should be equivalent to the total of methane, aromatic, cycloparaffinic and branched-alkane methyl carbons found in the TLP.

The cleavage of alkyl sulphide bonds generates olefins and hydrogen sulphide. Consequently, formation of hydrogen sulphide gas and a decrease in sulphur content in the TLP relative to the feed reflects this chemistry. The olefin formation would also result in an increase in olefinic carbon content in the TLP relative to the feed. However, formation of olefinic carbon can be due to several other mechanisms, as shown in Table 5. The relative contributions of the different reactions to olefinic carbon formation will be determined by the model.







Model Formulation

The final form of the mathematical model will be such that it can be used as a tool to predict visbreaking yields based on carbon type analysis data for any given feedstock. For alkyl sulphide conversion, the analytical data contains the necessary information to estimate conversion without requiring additional kinetic information. For the model reactions described in Table 5, kinetic information is available from the literature so conversion and product distributions can be estimated. Because the pseudo-components are based on carbon type (carbon bond) lumps, the kinetics will be developed on fundamental reactivity principles and so will be more consistent than models based on empirical information.

Conclusion and Future Work

Our model is being developed to predict the product composition and yields obtained from visbreaking Athabasca bitumen, not by modelling molecules, but rather by monitoring changes in quantities of carbon types (carbon bonds) from feed to product. As a first step, carbon type analyses using NMR and elemental analysis data were collected to determine the distribution of carbon types present in the feedstock and its total liquid products after thermal treatment. In the literature, studies of the thermal conversion of model compounds describe the types of reactions that can take place under the process conditions used. In the current work, balances on various carbon types that appear and disappear during thermal cracking are being used for validation of the major pathways followed. Future work will focus on testing the applicability

TABLE 5: Model reactions – carbon-carbon bond breakage.

Compound	Major Products	Reference
1) 2-Ethyltetralin 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Naphthalene • 2-Ethyl-naphthalene • Dialin • 2-Ethyl-dialin 	11
2) Tridecylcyclohexane 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cyclohexane + Tridecene • Methylene-cyclohexane + Dodecane • Methyl-cyclohexane + Dodecene 	11
3) 1-Undecyldecalin 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Octahydronaphthalene + Undecane • 1-Methylenedecalin + Decane • Decalin + Undecene 	12
4) Pentadecylbenzene 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Toluene + Tetradecene • Styrene or Ethylbenzene + Tridecane 	13
5) 1-Undecylnaphthalene 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1-Methylnaphthalene + Decene • 1-Vinylnaphthalene or 1-Ethyl-naphthalene + Nonane 	14
6) 1-Dodecylpyrene 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1-Methylpyrene + Undecene • 1-Ethylpyrene + Decane • Pyrene + Dodecane 	15

of the visbreaking model with different feedstocks, eventually expanding the model to include more severe processes.

Acknowledgements

This research was partially supported by NSERC, the National Centre for Upgrading Technology through partial funding by the Canadian Program for Energy Research and Development (PERD), the Alberta Research Council and the Alberta Energy Research Institute. The authors wish to acknowledge Dr. San Yip, and the NCUT Highhead Group for performing the visbreaker runs. As well, they wish to thank Ms. Sara Salmon for analysis coordination and quality control, Ms. Anna Truong for preparing the SARA fractions, and the NCUT Analytical Group for performing the distillations and analyses.

REFERENCES

1. ALI, F., KHAN, Z.H. and GHALOUM, N., Structural Studies of Vacuum Gas Oil Distillate Fractions of Kuwaiti Crude Oil by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance; *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 18, No. 6, pp. 1798-1805, November 2004.
2. JAPANWALA, S., CHUNG, K.H., DETTMAN, H.D. and GRAY, M.R., Quality of Distillates from Repeated Recycle of Residue; *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 477-484, March 2002.
3. RAHMANI, S., MCCAFFREY, W.C., DETTMAN, H.D. and GRAY, M.R., Coking Kinetics of Asphaltenes as a Function of Chemical Structure; *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 1048-1056, July 2003.
4. SHEREMATA, J.M., GRAY, M.R., DETTMAN, H.D. and MCCAFFREY, W.C., Quantitative Molecular Representation and Sequential Optimization of Athabasca Asphaltenes; *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 18, No. 5, pp. 1377-1384, September 2004.
5. SHU, W.R. and VENKATESAN, V.N., Kinetics of Thermal Visbreaking of a Cold Lake Bitumen; *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, Vol. 23, pp. 60-64, March-April 1984.
6. KRISHNA, R., KUCHHAL, Y.K., SARNA, G.S. and SINGH, I.D., Visbreaking Studies on Agjahari Long Residue; *Fuel*, Vol. 67, No. 3, pp. 379-383, March 1988.
7. SINGH, J., KUMAR, M.M., SAXENA, A.K. and KUMAR, S., Reaction Pathways and Product Yields in Mild Thermal Cracking of Vacuum Residues: A Multi-Lump Kinetic Model; *Chemical Engineering Journal*, Vol. 108, No. 3, pp. 239-248, April 2005.
8. AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS, Annual Book of ASTM Standards 2001, Section 5 Petroleum Products, Lubricants, and Fossil Fuels, Vol.05.06; *American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, 2001.*

9. PERAMANU, S., PRUDEN, B.B. and RAHIMI, P., Molecular Weight and Specific Gravity Distributions for Athabasca and Cold Lake Bitumens and Their Saturate, Aromatic, Resin, and Asphaltene Fractions; *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, Vol. 38, No. 8, pp. 3121-3130, August 1999.
10. GARY, J.H. and HANDWERK, G.E., Petroleum Refining: Technology and Economics; 3rd Edition, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, NY, 1993.
11. SAVAGE, P.E. and KLEIN, M.T., Asphaltene Reaction Pathways. 4. Pyrolysis of Tridecylcyclohexane and 2-Ethyltetralin; *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, Vol. 27, No. 8, pp. 1348-1356, August 1988.
12. MIZAN, T.I., SAVAGE, P.E. and PERRY, B., Pyrolysis of Polycyclic Perhydroarenes. 2. 1-n-Undecylperhydronaphthalene; *Energy & Fuels*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 107-115, January 1997.
13. SAVAGE, P.E. and KLEIN, M.T., Asphaltene Reaction Pathways. 2. Pyrolysis of n-Pentadecylbenzene; *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, Vol. 26, No. 3, pp. 488-494, March 1987.
14. SMITH, C.M. and SAVAGE, P.E., Reactions of Polycyclic Alkylaromatics: Structure and Reactivity; *AIChE Journal*, Vol. 37, No. 11, pp. 1613-1624, November 1991.
15. SAVAGE, P.E., JACOBS, G.E. and JAVANMARDIAN, M., Autocatalysis and Aryl-Alkyl Bond Cleavage in 1-Dodecylpyrene Pyrolysis; *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, Vol. 28, No. 6, pp. 645-654, June 1989.

Provenance—Original Petroleum Society manuscript, **Mathematical Modelling of Thermal Conversion of Athabasca Bitumen. Part I: Reaction Pathways and Experimental Method** (2006-031), first presented at the 7th Canadian International Petroleum Conference the 57th Annual Technical Meeting of the Petroleum Society), June 13-15, 2006, in Calgary, Alberta. Abstract submitted for review November 25, 2005; editorial comments sent to the author(s) March 12, 2007; revised manuscript received April 30, 2007; paper approved for pre-press April 30, 2007; final approval July 10, 2007.

Authors' Biographies



Kevin Chan is a Chemical Engineer working with Colt Engineering in Edmonton, AB. He received his undergraduate degree in April 2004 and completed his Masters degree in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering at the University of Alberta in January 2007.



Dr. Carolina Diaz-Goano is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering at the University of Alberta. She received her undergraduate degree from Universidad de la Republica del Uruguay. She has a Masters degree in computer science (1998) and a Ph.D. degree in chemical engineering (2003) from the University of Alberta. Her research interests centre on scientific computing; in particular, modelling and simulation of en-

gineering application with an emphasis on fluid dynamics, heavy oils and large scale computing.



Dr. Heather Dettman is a Senior Scientist at the National Centre for Upgrading Technology (NCUT). She has a Ph.D. degree in chemistry from the University of Alberta. Dr. Dettman is an expert in NMR spectroscopy and heavy oils. She is involved with several research projects dealing with different aspects of heavy oils and in collaboration with both industry and universities.



Dr. Theo de Bruijn is a Senior Scientist at NCUT. He obtained his bachelors degree in chemical engineering and his Ph.D. degree (1981) from Delft University of Technology. Dr. de Bruijn is currently involved in expanding NCUT's experimental facilities, and promoting and developing emerging primary upgrading technologies.